



## 5<sup>TH</sup> RMLNLU – SCC ONLINE<sup>®</sup> INTERNATIONAL MEDIA LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2017

March 3 - 5, 2017

**CLARIFICATIONS** 

- 1. WHETHER THE COUNTRY TURENCIA IS SIMILAR TO THE USA?
  - Not relevant. However, necessary and reasonable assumptions could be made.
- 2. Whether paragraphs 21 and 22 of the moot proposition are considered to be as a fact of the case or argument of the case?
  - Principles arguments of the parties.
- 3. IS ILIA THE ONLY RELIGION IN GHOULUM? ALSO, IS IT FOLLOWED BY A MAJORITY OF CITIZENS OR ONLY A FEW OF THEM?
  - The religion is followed by a majority of citizens of Ghoulum. However, the entire legal system of the Kingdom accords supremacy to the Ilia law.
- 4. Does Ghoulum have a Constitution apart from the Ilia Law?
  - Not relevant for the present dispute. The participants are expected to confine their submissions to the given facts only. However, necessary and reasonable assumptions could be made.
- 5. WHICH YEAR WERE THE INFORMATION BROADCASTING ACT AND THE GHOULUM CRIMINAL CODE PASSED IN?
  - 2009 and 1952 respectively.
- 6. WHETHER THE INVESTMENT BY TNN IN GHOULUM IS THROUGH 'FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT' OR BY ANY OTHER ROUTE?
  - FDI.
- 7. Does the symbol "[.....]" used twice in Para 11 have any significance to show either an incriminating or mitigating factor in the speech of Mr. Robin?
  - The said symbol has the same meaning as generally accorded to it in literature.

- 8. Are the council of ministers elected by the people of Ghoulum?
  - Appointed by the King.
- 9. Are citizens of Ghoulum allowed to profess any religion other than Ilia? Are there any religious minorities in Ghoulum?
  - There are some religious minorities living in Ghoulum. However, the entire legal system of the Kingdom accords supremacy to the Ilia law.
- 10. Is Section 23B the entirety of Ghoulum's sedition law?
  - It is the only provision relevant for the present dispute. However, necessary and reasonable assumptions could be made.

Please note that if the questions you asked have not been answered above, they fall into either of the following categories:

- A. Point of research. To be deduced from facts and general principles of law.
- B. The participants are expected to confine their submissions to the given facts only. However, necessary and reasonable assumptions could be made.
- C. The point of clear from the facts.
- D. Not relevant for the present dispute.
- E. The case has to be argued considering the factual matrix.